

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,772	02/24/2004	Moon-Sook Lee	8947-000075/US	8340
30593	7590 10/11/2007	e e	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910		LUND, JEFFRIE ROBERT		
RESTON, VA	N, VA 20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A II AI No	Annii annii a				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/784,772	LEE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrie R. Lund	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 August 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 15-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Carelacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	_	atent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitamura et al, US Patent 6,007,633.

Kitamura et al teaches an apparatus for fabricating a semiconductor device that includes: a process chamber 21; a susceptor 30 including a heater 35 and supporting a wafer W disposed within the process chamber; a shower part 52 disposed to face the susceptor; a first supply pipe 71 for supplying a first gas; and a heating pipe 60, 61 for heating the first gas. The heating pipes 60, 61 are connected with the supply pipes 71 and the shower part 52; is inside the processing chamber 100; surrounds the susceptor; and is heated by radiation from the susceptor. The specific material deposited is an intended use of the apparatus, and Kitamura et al is capable of depositing a ferroelectric layer. (Entire document, specifically, figures 1 and 2)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3-7 and 9-11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al, US Patent 6,007,633, in view of Sakamoto et al, US Patent 5,968,593.

Kitamura et al was discussed above.

Kitamura et al differs from the present invention in that Kitamura et al does not teach that the heating pipe coils in helix or spiral coil along the bottom (second part), sidewall (first part), and top (third part) of the processing chamber; a liner between the susceptor and the heating pipe; or a second gas inlet.

Sakamoto et al teaches an apparatus for fabricating a semiconductor device that includes: a process chamber 100; a susceptor (wafer 200 on boat 212) disposed within the process chamber; a shower part 32, 40, and 42 disposed to face the susceptor; a first supply pipe 91 for supplying a first gas; a second supply pipe 92 for supplying a second gas; a heating pipe 311 for heating the first gas; a second heating pipe 312 for heating a second gas; and a liner 10 between the heating pipe and the susceptor. The heating pipes are: connected with the supply pipes 91, 92 and the shower part 32, 40, 42; is inside the processing chamber 100; includes a helically coiled shaped part coiled around the circumference of the susceptor from the a lower portion of the sidewall to the upper portion of the sidewall of the process chamber; and is heated by radiation from the susceptor.

The motivation for forming coils along the sides of the processing chamber is to extent the length of the heating pipe to extend the time in which the gas is preheated which results in the gas being heated to a more uniform temperature as taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/784,772

Art Unit: 1792

Sakamoto et al.

The motivation for adding the liner of Sakamoto et al between the susceptor and the gas inlet of Kitamura et al is to remove the heating pipe from the processing chamber environment as taught by Sakamoto et al.

The motivation for adding the second gas inlet of Sakamoto et al to the apparatus of Kitamura et al is to provide a second gas to the processing chamber.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made modify the apparatus of Kitamura et al to make the heating pipe with helical and spiral coils, add a liner, and a second gas inlet as taught by Sakamoto et al.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al, as applied to claims 3-7 and 9-11 above, and further in view of Shim et al, US Patent Application Publication 2003/0041804 A1.

Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al differs from the present invention in that they do not teach that the heating pipe coils are in the outer wall of the chamber.

Shim et al teaches an apparatus in which the heating pipe 130 is inside the outer wall of the chamber.

The motivation for placing the tubes of Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al in the outer wall of the chamber is to remove the heating pipe from the processing chamber environment as taught by Shim et al.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made place the heating pipes of Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al in

Application/Control Number: 10/784,772

Art Unit: 1792

the outer wall as taught by Shim et al.

6. Claims 12, 13, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al, as applied to claims 3-7 and 9-11 above, and further in view of Shinriki et al, US Patent 6,800,139 B1.

Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al differ from the present invention in that they do not teach a MOCVD apparatus, the first gas is an oxygen gas supplied at room temperature, the second gas is a heated gas containing Pb, Zr and Ti for depositing a ferroelectric layer, or that the first gas flows into a first part of the shower and the second gas flows into a second part of the shower, separated from the first part.

Shinriki et al teaches an MOCVD apparatus for depositing a PZT ferroelectric layer that includes: a shower part 50; a first supply pipe 80 for supplying an oxygen gas (NO<sub>2</sub>) at room temperature to a first shower part 58A-58C; a second gas supply pipe 62, 66 for supplying a heated metal organic gas containing Pb, Zr and Ti (column 7 lines 29-46) to a second part 56A, 56B, not connected to the first part. (Entire document, specifically, figures 1 and 3)

The motivation for replacing the gas sources and shower of Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al with the gas sources with heated gas line and shower of Shinriki et al is to enable the apparatus of Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al to deposit a PZT ferroelectric layer as taught by Shinriki et al.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the gas sources and shower of Kitamura et al and Sakamoto et al with the gas sources with headed gas line and shower of Shinriki et al.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed August 6, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to the argument that the outer tube (heat pipe) 60 does not surround the susceptor, the Examiner disagrees. The term "surrounds" is broad and includes the idea of encircling and does not require that the outer tube (heat pipe) be in the same plane as the susceptor. The outer tube (heat pipe) of Kitamura et al has a diameter larger than that of the susceptor, and thus surrounds the susceptor. The Examiner further notes that the dependent claims and the figures of the present invention support this interpretation, because they teach that the heat pipe has a diameter greater than the susceptor and is not in the same plane as the susceptor.

In regard to the argument that the outer tube (heat pipe) 60 is only heated by the upper heater 45, the Examiner disagrees. It is true that the upper heater 45 heats the outer tube (heat pipe), but it is not the only source of heat. The lower heater 35 will also radiate heat that heats the outer tube (heat pipe), just as the upper heater 45 will also radiate heat that heats the wafer W (See column 4 lines 9-14). Furthermore, the claims do not limit the presents of a second heater that also heats the outer pipe (heat pipe).

### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/784,772 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrie R. Lund whose telephone number is (571) 272-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (10:00 am - 9:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

Art Unit: 1792

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrie R. Lund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

JRL 10/5/07